

1 JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332)
United States Attorney

2 BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973)
Chief, Criminal Division

3 OWEN P. MARTIKAN (CSBN 177104)
Assistant United States Attorneys

4 5 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
6 San Francisco, California 94102
7 Telephone: (415) 436-7241
Facsimile: (415) 436-7234
owen.martikan@usdoj.gov

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff

9
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CR 10-0261 JSW

14)
15 Plaintiff,) **STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]**
16 v.) **ORDER EXCLUDING TIME**

17 JONATHAN HILL,)

18 Defendant.)

20
21 On April 7, 2010, the parties in this case appeared before the Court for arraignment on the
22 indictment. The parties stipulated and the Court agreed that time should be excluded from the
23 Speedy Trial Act calculations from April 7, 2010, through April 29, 2010, for effective
24 preparation of defense counsel. The parties represented that granting the continuance would
25 allow the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation of defense counsel, taking into
26 account the exercise of due diligence. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties agreed
27 that the ends of justice served by granting such a continuance outweighed the best interests of the
28 //

1 public and the defendant in a speedy trial. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

2
3 SO STIPULATED:

4 JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO
United States Attorney

5 /s/
6 DATED: April 29, 2010

7 OWEN P. MARTIKAN _____
Assistant United States Attorney

8
9 DATED: April 29, 2010

10 DOUGLAS J. HORNGRAD _____
Attorney for Jonathan Hill

11
12 [PROPOSED] ORDER

13 As the Court found on April 7, 2010, and for the reasons stated above, an exclusion of
14 time from April 7, 2010, through April 29, 2010, is warranted because the ends of justice served
15 by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
16 *See* 18 U.S.C. §3161 (h)(7)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny
17 defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the
18 exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. *See* 18 U.S.C.
19 §3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

20
21 SO ORDERED.

22
23 DATED: 4/30/10


HON. BERNARD ZIMMERMAN
United States Magistrate Judge

